

1909-039 Chancery Causes: Adms of J. W. Glass vs. Sampson Willis &  
Lee Co.

Maness, Johnson, Baker

CA-Debt  
T-Property



To the Honorable H.A.W. Skeen, Judge of the Circuit Court of Lee County, Virginia:

Humbly complaining your orators, J.H. Maness and B.P. Glass, Administrators of the estate of J.W. Glass, deceased, will respectfully show to your Honor that on the 28th day of September, 1899, before E.A. Robinett, one of the justices of Lee County, their decedent, the said J.W. Glass, in the name of S.R. Robinett, for his benefit, obtained a judgment against Sampson Willis and M.W. Livingston for \$28.50, with interest from June 23rd, 1898, till paid, and \$1.80 costs, subject to a credit of \$4.00 paid July 22nd, 1895; all of which will more fully appear by reference to a transcript of said judgment which is here filed marked "Exhibit A"; that at the September term of the County Court of Lee County for year 1899, their said decedent obtained a judgment against S.P. Willis, (who is the said Sampson Willis) for \$49.75, for costs of the said J.W. Glass in an action at law instituted by the said S.P. Willis against the said J.W. Glass, a certified copy of which judgment is herewith filed as a part hereof marked "Exhibit B"; that on the 22nd day of September, 1899 the said judgment was docketed in the County Court Clerk's Office of Lee County, Virginia, in Judgment Lien Docket No. 3 page 199, and properly indexed in the name of the said S.P. Willis, all of which will more fully appear from a certified transcript from said Judgment Lien Docket, herewith filed marked "Exhibit C", and asked to be considered as part hereof.

Complainants will now show your Honor that said judgments, nor either of them, nor <sup>ever</sup> any part thereof was paid to their decedent in his life time, and that up to the time of the institution of this suit neither of said judgments or any part thereof had been paid by the said defendant to the complainants; and that the said two judgments are still due and owing to your complainants.

Your Complainants will now show unto your Honor that the said Sampson Willis is the owner of a valuable tract of land situated on the east fork of Blackwater Creek and on the south side of Stone Ridge, in Lee County, Virginia, containing about 200 acres.

Your complainants are advised that under the laws of the State



Virginia, the said two~~x~~ judgments of their said decedent are liens upon the said tract of land of the said Sampson Willis, and that they are entitled to have said tract of land subjected to the payment of said two judgments.

The prayer therefore of your Complainants is that the said Sampson<sup>P.</sup> Willis be made the party defendant to this bill,; that he be required to answer the same, but not under oath, as answer under oath is hereby expressly waived; and that upon a final hearing, a commissioner be appointed ascertain all liens existing against the lands of the said defendant, and that the said lands, or such part thereof as may be necessary, be sold for the purpose of paying off and discharging the said two judgments of your Complainants' said decedent; and for full and complete general relief.

And they will ever pray &c.

*Duncan & Cridler attys  
for Plaintiff -*



Pffs.  
Costs recovered:

Clk \$8.77

Dax 1.50

atty. 5.00

Shff. 4.50

\$19.77

Maness + Glass. Adm<sup>70</sup>

12. { In Chy.

Sampson P. Willis

Bill

~~Filed~~

1905

1<sup>st</sup> November Rules

Bill filed Spa

Excluded as to all

Defts except A.C.D.

Maness who ac-

cepted legal advice

& D.N.

2<sup>nd</sup> November Rules

D.N. confirmed &

cause set for hear-

ing



Maness & Glass, Administrators.....Complainants.

Vs.

Sampson P. Willis.....Defendant.

This cause came on this day to be heard upon the bill of the plaintiffs with exhibits filed therewith, and was argued by counsel.

And it appearing to the court that process has been duly served upon said defendants, and that he has failed to appear, answer, plead or demurr, the said bill is taken for confessed as to the judgment for \$23.50, which sum the plaintiffs admit has since the institution of this suit been paid to them, and as to the other judgment of \$42.75 the said defendant has availed himself of the benefit of the homestead exemption, and the plaintiffs admit<sup>that</sup> that he has not property equal to the amount which he is entitled to hold exempt, said bill is dismissed by the plaintiffs.

And it is further adjudged, ordered and decreed that the plaintiffs recover their costs against the said defendant Sampson P. Willis, for which execution may issue. And this cause is stricken from the docket.



Marion S. Glaser

10-3 Series

Sampson Willis

Entered in C.O.B.  
#8, page 505ve.

Enter This decimal  
H C W B W

Sept 13<sup>th</sup> 1909



When Filed,  
Sept. 25, 1900

To Whom Delivered,

Officer's Return,

When Returnable,

A copy,  
Teste: H. C. T. Ewing, Clerk.



Date of Filing	Style of Suit	Date of Judgment	By Whom Rendered	Judgment
	<p>S. R. Robinett for The benefit of J. W. Glass</p> <p>vs. Sampson Willis &amp; M. W. Livingston</p>	<p>Sept. 28, 1899.</p>	<p>E. A. Robinett, J.P.</p>	<p>Judgt for \$28.50 Int from June 23rd 1898 &amp; \$1.50 costs. + c 30¢ Or. 4.00 July 22, 95</p>

"A 31113"

Dec 11 1899



S. R. Robinett for re.  
vs / Copy of  
Judgment  
Sampson Hillis et al

— — —  
"Exhibit A"  
with Plaintiffs' Bill  
— — —

Clerk \$25



Virginia:

At a County Court continued and held for Lee County at the Courthouse thereof on Wednesday the 20th day of September, 1899:

S. P. Willis)

Vs. :- On a Warrant Removed from J.P.

J. W. Glass)

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came a jury, to-wit, Z.R.Osburn, Hugh Smallwood, C.S.Lanningham, J.D.Olinger, C.D.Orr, R.S.Carns, James E.Gillenwaters, D.L.Jessee, J.S.Willis, John Crouse, Zeek Speak and H.C.Wade, who were sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined; and the said jury having heard the evidence, and being instructed by the Court, were sent to their room to consult of their verdict; and after some time returned into Court having found the following verdict: "We the jury find for the defendant". It is therefore considered by the Court that said warrant be dismissed, and that the defendant recover from the plaintiff his costs by him about his defence in this case expended.

Defendant's costs recovered:

R. Clk.	.20
M "	11.57
W. Shff.	.60
L. D.S	4.70
Wits.	30.18
Atty.	2.50

---

\$49.75

A Copy teste:

J. H. Hewing Clerk.



"Exhibit B"  
with Plaintiff's Bill



Debt, Damages, Interest And Costs.

Amount and Date of Credits.

Judgment for \$49.75 costs: R. C. 20;  
M. Clerk, \$11.57, W. Shff .60; Liversay D.S.  
4.70; Atty 2.50 Wits \$30.18

A copy,

Teste: H. C. T. Ewing, Clerk.



Date of Judgment	By what Court Rendered.	Time of Docketing	Names And Description Of Parties.
Sept. 7, 1899	Co Court Lee County	Sept. 22, 1899	<div> <div>J. W. Glass</div> <div>vs.</div> <div>S. P. Willis</div> <div>Plff</div> <div>Deft</div> </div>



J. W. Glass  
vs. / Copy of  
Judgment  
S. P. Willis.

"Exhibit C"  
with Plaintiff's Bill

Clerk \$1.25



The Commonwealth of Virginia,

To the Sheriff of the County of Lee, Greeting:

WE COMMAND YOU, That you summon

*Sampson Willis, Sarah J. Willis, Amanda J. Johnson, Matilda Willis, Dela Baker, James Willis, David Willis, Thomas Willis, Vesta Willis and A. C. D. Willis, Trustee,*

to appear at the Clerk's office of the Circuit Court of the County of Lee, at rules to be held for the said court, on the *1<sup>st</sup>* Monday in *November*, 190*5*, to answer a bill in chancery exhibited against *them,*

*in our said court, by J. H. Maness and J. P. Glass, Admin. of J. H. Glass, deceased,*

And have then there this writ. Witness, H. C. T. EWING, Clerk of our said Court, at the court-house, the *18<sup>th</sup>* day of *October*, 190*5*, and 1 *30<sup>th</sup>* year of the Commonwealth.

A Copy, Teste:

*H. C. T. Ewing*, Clerk.

\_\_\_\_\_, Clerk.



J. H. Maness & J. P. Glass  
Adms. &c.

VS

}

SUBPOENA  
IN  
CHANCERY.

Sampson Hillis et al

Duncan & Criddle p. q

To 1<sup>st</sup> November Rules.

Lee Circuit Court.  
1905

Executed on all Capt A C  
D. Willis should be A C D. Maness  
who lives in Scott  
This Oct. 26<sup>th</sup> 1905  
W D Owens D.S.  
For PM Ball S. L. C



The Commonwealth of Virginia,

To the Sheriff of the County of Lee, Greeting: J.

WE COMMAND YOU, That you summon

*Sampson Willis, Sarah Willis,  
Amanda J. Johnson, Matilda Willis, Dela Baker,  
James Willis, David Willis, Thomas Willis,  
Festa Willis and A. C. D. Maness, Trustee,*

to appear at the Clerk's office of the Circuit Court of the County of Lee, at rules to be held for the said court, on  
the *12<sup>th</sup>* Monday in *November*, 190*5*, to answer a bill in chancery exhibited against *them,*

*in our said court by J. H. Maness and J. P.  
Gloss, Admrs. of J. W. Glass, deceased.*

And have then there this writ. Witness, H. C. T. EWING, Clerk of our said Court, at the court-house, the *18<sup>th</sup>*  
day of *October*, 190*5*, and 1*30*,--year of the Commonwealth.

A Copy, Teste:

*H. C. T. Ewing,* Clerk.

*H. C. T. Ewing,* Clerk.



VS

}

SUBPOENA  
IN  
CHANCERY.

I accept the  
service of the  
within Summons  
This Nov. 4 1905 p. q  
~~A. C. Williams~~

To

Trustee

Rules.

Court.